INTRODUCTION

Since the beginning of the 1970s, a drought cycle without equivalent elsewhere in the world persists in sub-Saharan Africa. Compared to 1947–1968, the average annual rainfall actually decreased by 200 mm, corresponding to a deficit of 20% to 50% (L’Hôte and Mahé, 1996; Le Barbé et al., 2002). Since the World Meteorological Organization began recording average temperatures on Earth in 1860, the United States of America’s National Aeronautics and Space Administration (www.giss.nasa.gov/research/news/20190206/) recorded the highest temperatures on the surface of the Earth from 2015 to 2019, showing a continuum in the Planet’s long-term warming trend. The five warmest years are all posterior to 2010, year 2016 recording the highest globally averaged land surface temperature at 2.35°C above the 20th century average (NOAA, 2017). Dry years have become more frequent, occur at shorter intervals (Kasei et al., 2010), and the areal coverage of drought has also increased in Western Africa. More severe future droughts are predicted in the Sahel (Shanahan et al., 2009). Climate change projections in sub-Saharan Africa point to a warming trend, with frequent occurrences of extreme heat events, increasing aridity and changes in rainfall patterns (Serdeczny et al., 2016).

Several emerging and reemerging infectious diseases, related to climatic changes have suddenly appeared in naïve animal and human populations.
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Summary

Ticks of the genus *Rhipicephalus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Senegal were reviewed. The data presented originate from a tick collection maintained at IRD’s Laboratory of Medical Entomology since 1967 and continuously enriched with samples obtained from different vertebrate hosts captured during various projects conducted in Senegal from 1987 to 2007. Fifteen *Rhipicephalus* tick species were collected and characterized, resulting in 1127 referenced collections. Three species were of the *Boophilus* subgenus: *Rhipicephalus (Bo.) annulatus*, *Rh. (Bo.) decoloratus* and *Rh. (Bo.) geigyi*. The twelve others were *Rh. boueti*, *Rh. cuspidatus*, *Rh. evertsi*, *Rh. guilhoni*, *Rh. lanulatus*, *Rh. muhsamae*, *Rh. sanguineus*, *Rh. senegalensis*, *Rh. sulcatus*, *Rh. tricuspid*, *Rh. turanicus* and *Rh. ziemiai*. Although there were recent indications that *Rh. turanicus* should have been considered as part of the *Rh. sanguineus* s.l. complex, data regarding these two ticks were presented separately. The collection comprised 14,165 tick specimens at different developmental stages. Data concerning their host relationships as well as distribution and seasonal dynamics were also presented. Vertebrate hosts were identified and listed in the different ecological zones of Senegal. The role of the ticks as potential vectors of pathogens has been reviewed. Climate change, causing variations in rainfall and temperature, will impact tick distribution and dynamics. The situation supports the necessity of this inventory of tick populations for (re)emerging tick-borne diseases surveillance and monitoring.
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The genus *Rhipicephalus* Koch, 1844 (Ixodidae, Rhipicephalinae), is the richest of the ixodid fauna of the Western subregion of the Afrotropical zoogeographical region (referred to hereafter as the Western subregion) (Camicas et al., 1998; Walker et al., 2000; Morel, 2003). Most of its members parasitize mammals in the African continent. Except for the newly defined subgenus *Boophilus* (Beati and Kerans, 2001; Murrell and Barker, 2003) which is monophasic, and *Rhipicephalus evertsi*, a two-host exophilic tick, *Rhipicephalus* spp. have a three-host life cycle mostly associated with wild animals but they also possibly infest livestock. Recently, *Rh. turanicus*, which was until now considered as a separate taxon, was synonymized with *Rh. sanguineus* (Nava et al., 2015; Hekimoğlu et al., 2016). The data concerning both species are however presented separately below. To establish a list of the ixodid fauna infesting livestock and wild animals in Senegal, various studies have been carried out (Sylla et al., 2007; Sylla et al., 2008a). The present review lists the *Rhipicephalus* species occurring in Senegal, and reports their host association, chorology, and disease relationships.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research complied with legal requirements of the Senegalese authorities and adhered to the principles for the ethical treatment of wildlife. An authorization to conduct wild animals trapping and tick collections was granted by the Direction of Wildlife Services, Ministry of Environment and Nature Protection, Senegal (Approval # 001270 DEF/DGF 2002, Direction des eaux et forêts, chasses, et de la conservation des sols), and ratified by the Research Institute for Development (IRD, Marseille, France).

The data presented here originate from a tick collection currently held at IRD Laboratory of Medical Zoology, at Mbour Center, 90 kilometers southeast of Dakar (Senegal). This collection, set up in the 1960s, has been continuously enriched over time by different tick research projects conducted in Senegal. The Crimean-Congo Hemoragic Fever (CCHF) Program, 1987–1993, aimed to identify the tick species involved in CCHF virus ecology in the birds, wild mammals and livestock investigated, after ticks were removed for virus detection (Camicas et al., 1990). The climatic Change and Health Project (IRD, Action thématique interdisciplinaire, 2003–2004) focused on tick inventory and the impact of climatic change on their geographical distribution with respect to CCHF virus risk of emergence in Senegal and Mauritania (Wilson et al., 1990). During these two studies, rodents have also been caught in different geographical areas of Senegal and ticks removed and conserved for further studies. Ultimately, the EDEN project (European Commission, 2004–2007) related to West Nile virus ecology led to bird trapping in the ‘Parc national des oueds du Djoudj’ and the settlement of Barkedi where ticks were removed for virus research. Study sites and tick sampling have been presented in detail elsewhere (Sylla et al., 2007; 2008a).

Geographical position of each locality where a tick sample was found was given either by the gazetteer of Senegal (Board on Geographic Names, 1965, Dpt. of the Interior, Washington, DC, 20240, 1965, IV + 194 p.) or using GPSMAP 62S (Garmin, Wichita, Kansas). Maps were generated with SavGIS software (IRD, www.savgis.org). A sample (or a collection) is the total tick species (larval, nymphal and adult stages) removed from a vertebrate host in a given location, at the same date. Different tick species can be found simultaneously infesting the same vertebrate host. *Rhipicephalus* spp. were identified with the available identification key adapted to the ixodid fauna of the Western subregion (Matthysse and Colbo, 1987; Walker et al., 2000), and following the systematic terminology retained in Morel (2003), species nomenclature updated by Guglielmone et al. (2010), Nava et al. (2015), and Hekimoğlu et al. (2016). Mammal hosts identification followed Nowak (1999), and Wilson and Reeder (1992) with subsequent minor changes concerning some species, whereas bird host identification was carried out according to Del Hoyo et al. (1994).

### RESULTS

#### Tick species identified

Of the 14,165 specimens collected parasitizing mammals and birds, 15 *Rhipicephalus* species were identified (table I). They included three species of the subgenus *Boophilus* Curtice, 1891 (Murrell and Barker, 2003), *Rh. (Boophilus) annulatus, Rh. (Bo.) decoloratus and Rh. (Bo.) geigiyi.

Until recently, other subgenera were considered in the *Rhipicephalus* genus. *Rh. evertsi* belonged thus to the subgenus *Diginus* Pomerantsev, 1936, and *Rh. cuspidatus* to the subgenus *Hyperaspis* Pomerantsev, 1936. Both species are now classified as *Rhipicephalus* (Guglielmone et al., 2010), as are the other identified species: *Rh. lunulatus, Rh. muhsamae* and *Rh. senegalensis* of the genus *Diginus*; *Rh. boueti, Rh. guilhoni, Rh. sanguineus, Rh. sulcatus, Rh. tricuspidis,

| Table I: Number of collections of *Rhipicephalus* spp. recorded and of ticks obtained per species in Senegal /// Nombre de collections de *Rhipicephalus* spp. répertoriées et de tiques obtenues par espèce au Sénégal |
|---|---|---|
| Tick species | Number of collections | Total ticks collected / species |
| *Rh. (Boophilus) annulatus* | 0 | 0 |
| *Rh. (Boophilus) decoloratus* | 127 | 2,368 |
| *Rh. (Boophilus) geigiyi* | 74 | 1,721 |
| Total *Rh. (Boophilus)* | 201 | 4,089 |
| *Rh. evertsi* | 196 | 2,610 |
| *Rh. cuspidatus* | 31 | 533 |
| *Rh. boueti* | 1 | 3 |
| *Rh. guilhoni* | 133 | 1,329 |
| *Rh. lunulatus* | 17 | 121 |
| *Rh. muhsamae* | 147 | 2,148 |
| *Rh. sanguineus* | 35 | 220 |
| *Rh. senegalensis* | 49 | 1,014 |
| *Rh. sulcatus* | 308 | 2,025 |
| *Rh. tricuspidis* | 1 | 4 |
| *Rh. turanicus* | 3 | 64 |
| *Rh. ziemianni* | 5 | 5 |
| Other *Rhipicephalus* | 926 | 10,076 |
| Overall total | 1,127 | 14,165 |

* This species has been recorded in Senegal (Morel, 1958) but specimens did not exist in the collection /// Cette espèce a été répertoriée au Sénégal (Morel, 1958) mais les spécimens n’existaient pas dans la collection
Rh. turanicus (now synonymized with Rh. sanguineus) and Rh. ziemanni of the sanguineus group.

Two main groups were actually distinguished in the former subgenus Rhipicephalus, the sanguineus and simus groups (Camicas et al., 1998), defined on the basis of morphological and bioecological features. This separation does not appear in the recent list of species (Guglielmone et al., 2010; Nava et al., 2015) but we kept it because we consider it as helpful.

The sanguineus group is featured by small to medium sized interstitial punctuations, sparsely distributed through the scutum (density of these punctuations is highly variable, sometimes very dense). Posteromedian stripes (or posterior grooves) appear distinct (deep and wide with wrinkled texture). Seven species of this group are known in Senegal, but also in the Western subregion: Rh. boueti, Rh. guilhonii, Rh. sanguineus, Rh. sulcatus, Rh. turanicus, Rh. tricuspidis and Rh. ziemanni.

The simus group is characterized by a smooth scutum with few scattered medium sized punctuations, the scapular field a little bit raised, smooth and flat. Posteromedian stripes or posterior grooves appear as lines. Three species belonging to this group are known in Senegal and the Western subregion: Rh. lunulatus, Rh. muhsamae, and Rh. senegalensis.

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) annulatus (Say, 1821) Salmon & Stiles, 1901

Morel (1958; 2003) reported Rh. (Bo.) annulatus infesting cattle in 1956 and 1963 in two locations of Southern Senegal. He stated that this species was present on ruminants in Senegal in the Sudanese and North-Guinean areas. Our investigations did not allow to find it, although it is recorded in Guinea and Mali (Tomassone et al., 2004). The occurrence of this species in South Senegal (Lower Casamance and Eastern Senegal) might be affected by the rainfall decline (2000–2500 mm annual rainfall in 1958 vs 1000–1200 mm in the 1980s; L’Hôte and Mahé, 1996).

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) decoloratus (Koch, 1844) Stiles & Hassall, 1901

The ‘African blue tick’ has been frequently recorded (Figure 1) from livestock, mainly cattle, but also goats, sheep and donkeys, and accidentally from one human (Suppl. Mat. I). The species was not collected from wild animals. Rh. (Bo.) decoloratus was recorded mainly in the Sahelian and Sudano-Sahelian zones, but occasionally in the Sudanese zone (Figure 1), confirming previous observations indicating that it infests the four-fifths of the northern parts of the country (Camicas et al., 1986). In the Sahelian area, it is present along the Senegal River Basin (north of the country) and in the Niayes (Northwestern coastal region with dunes and depressions favorable for gardening), but also in the Ferlo (central and western parts of the Sahelian area). In the Niayes, the species is present on cattle all year round (rare from April to June, abundant from July through January, decreasing in population from February to March).

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) geigyi Aeschlimann & Morel, 1965

Known only in the meridional part of Senegal, south of isohyet 1000 mm (Morel, 2003), and therefore characteristic of the Sudanese and Sudano-Guinean areas, Rh. (Bo.) geigyi is a parasite of wild and domestic ungulates, mainly cattle, in Senegal (Figure 1, Suppl. Mat. II). Adults of the species were also recorded in 1960–1963 in the Niayes on cattle present all year round. It was probably introduced during importation of cows from Tambacounda and Kedougou (Southeast), Kolda and Casamance (Southwestern regions), for the purpose of research on rinderpest (Camicas, pers. commun.). Although located between latitudes 14° 30’ and 16° N, the Niayes belong to a particular ecosystem of the Sahelian zone. The relief is characterized by dune strips alternating with interdune depressions (or bowls) where a large variety of vegetable and fruit crops are grown, in an atypical vegetation of sub-Guinean chorological affinity dominated by Elaeis guineensis, that refer to lower Casamance. The continental front of the shoreline allows a global view of depressions flooded during heavy rains. This area is nevertheless characterized by a tropical sub-Canarian climate that could settle durably Rh. (Bo.) geigyi in this microhabitat of the Niayes. Nevertheless, the most recent exhaustive study in the area did not record the species occurrence (Gueye et al., 1986). More investigations are thus needed.

Rhipicephalus evertsi Neumann, 1897 sensu Dönitz, 1910

The red-legged Rh. evertsi tick is a monotropic exophilic species, very common and with a large distribution throughout Africa, especially in warmer areas, preferentially active during the summer. It is mainly found on all ungulates of its natural distribution area (Figure 2).

Figure 1: Distribution of tick collections carried out in Senegal in which Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) was identified // Répartition des collectes de tiques effectuées au Sénégal dans lesquelles Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) a été identifié
Rhipicephalus boueti Morel, 1957

Three males of the small reddish-brown Rh. boueti tick were collected from a rock hyrax (*Procapra capensis*) in Southeastern Senegal (Figure 4). This species has been described by Morel (1957), but it is only known in its adult stages parasitizing the hyrax and was initially collected in Benin. Immature stages of this rhipicephalid are thus not yet known neither are data concerning its life cycle.

Rhipicephalus guilhoni Morel & Vassiliades, 1963

Immature stages of Rh. guilhoni (Morel and Vassiliades, 1964) are known from myomorph and sciuriform rodents, whereas adults infest a large variety of ungulates, carnivores, leporids and sometimes birds. Adult Rh. guilhoni frequently parasitizes livestock in the northern half of Senegal and is mostly found at isohyets 250–500 mm (Figure 4, Supp. Mat. V). It has been currently recorded from cattle of different origins at Dakar’s slaughterhouses. It has been found parasitizing diverse wild animals including the barn owl (*Tyto alba affinis*), the African hedgehog (*Atelerix albiventris*), the serval (*Felis serval*), the African wildcat (*Felis lybica*) and the zorilla or striped polecat (*Herpestes sanguineus*), also known as *Herpestes albinotatus* (Figure 3, Supp. Mat. V).

Rhipicephalus cuspidatus Neumann, 1906

Rh. cuspidatus three-host species, observed from Senegal to Sudan, is typically endophilous and associated with some wild mammals and their sheltering habitats: the aardvark or antbear (*Orycteropus afer*), the common warthog (*Phacochoerus africanus*) and the African-crested porcupine (*Hystrix cristata*). It is also sometimes found exophilic from Sahelian savanna to Guinean woodlands where it may infest sheep and goats as well as several carnivores as the caracal (*Felis caracal*), the African civet (*Civettictis civetta*) and the black-tailed mongoose or common slender mongoose (*Galerella sanguinea*, also known as *Herpestes sanguineus*) (Figure 3, Supp. Mat. IV).

Rhipicephalus evertsi Morel, 1957

Three males of the small reddish-brown Rh. evertsi tick were collected from a rock hyrax (*Procapra capensis*) in Southeastern Senegal (Figure 4). This species has been described by Morel (1957), but it is only known in its adult stages parasitizing the hyrax and was initially collected in Benin. Immature stages of this rhipicephalid are thus not yet known neither are data concerning its life cycle.

Imature stages of Rh. guilhoni (Morel and Vassiliades, 1964) are known from myomorph and sciuriform rodents, whereas adults infest a large variety of ungulates, carnivores, leporids and sometimes birds. Adult Rh. guilhoni frequently parasitizes livestock in the northern half of Senegal and is mostly found at isohyets 250–500 mm (Figure 4, Supp. Mat. V). It has been currently recorded from cattle of different origins at Dakar’s slaughterhouses. It has been found parasitizing diverse wild animals including the barn owl (*Tyto alba affinis*), the African hedgehog (*Atelerix albiventris*), the serval (*Felis serval*), the African wildcat (*Felis lybica*) and the zorilla or striped polecat (*Herpestes sanguineus*), also known as *Herpestes albinotatus* (Figure 3, Supp. Mat. V).

Figure 2: Distribution of tick collections carried out in Senegal in which Rhipicephalus evertsi was identified /// Répartition des collectes de tiques effectuées au Sénégal dans lesquelles Rhipicephalus evertsi a été identifié

Figure 3: Distribution of tick collections carried out in Senegal in which Rhipicephalus cuspidatus was identified /// Répartition des collectes de tiques effectuées au Sénégal dans lesquelles Rhipicephalus cuspidatus a été identifié
(Ictonyx striatus). It was also collected from humans or crawling on the ground. In Senegal, 133 mammals were found infested, three more collections were obtained from birds (data not shown), and the tick was also collected in natura on four occasions (96 adults, sex ratio at equilibrium).

**Rhipicephalus sanguineus** (Latreille, 1806) Koch, 1844

Also known as the kennel tick, brown dog tick or pantropical dog tick, adult *Rh. sanguineus* infests a large variety of ungulates including livestock and wild carnivores in diverse ecological areas of Senegal (Figure 4, Suppl. Mat. VI). Morphological features within the species allowed to delineate some individuals but recent findings based on laboratory crosses and molecular genetics changed the taxonomic status of the *sanguineus* group (Nava et al., 2015). This tick is very common on the domestic dog (*Canis lupus familiaris*). Other collections held in the laboratory (data not shown) recorded it also from *I. striatus*, the pale fox (*Vulpes pallida*), the Senegal bushbaby (*Galago senegalensis*) and from the black kite (*Milvus migrans*). On ten occasions, it was collected in natura, questing or crawling to find a host, for a total of 197 ticks (29LL, 2NN, 86♀♀, 86♂♂). Its larvae, hatched from eggs probably laid by females fed on domestic dogs, have been noticed invading homes in several localities of Senegal.

**Rhipicephalus sulcatus** Neumann, 1908

Immature stages of *Rh. sulcatus* are known to parasitize mainly hedgehogs, and myomorph and sciuromorph rodents, whereas adults infest a large variety of ungulates, carnivores, leporids, hedgehogs (Figure 4, Suppl. Mat. VII), and sometimes birds and reptiles. This adult species is common on livestock from north to south Senegal, but absent in the Ferlo (Figure 4). It was collected from 308 mammals, 5 birds, and 1 reptile, the Nile monitor (*Varanus niloticus*). In addition, 12 collections making a total of 56 ticks (36♀♀, 20♂♂), were obtained in natura, questing or crawling to find a host.

**Rhipicephalus tricuspid Dönnitz, 1906**

*Rh. tricuspus* was collected only once, from a harnessed bush buck (*Tragelaphus scriptus*) infested by four male ticks, in Niokolo-Koba National Park (Morel, 1956) (Figure 4). It has the *sinus* pattern punctionations on the central conscutum and might therefore be confused with *Rh. lunulatus* (Morel, 2003). It is a typical parasite of domestic animals and it is sometimes collected on small wild carnivores, antelopes and spring hares in South Africa, in the Austral biogeographic subregion. As in the case of *Rh. boueti*, little is known in Senegal about its immature stages morphology and/or bioecology.

**Rhipicephalus turanicus** Pomerantsev et al., 1940

Synonymized with *Rh. sanguineus*, immature stages of *Rh. turanicus* are known to infest myomorph and sciuromorph rodents, and wild and domestic carnivores. This species is rarely collected in Senegal (Table I; Figure 4). It is very localized and recorded only from goats in Northern Senegal. Pegram et al. (1987) listed some specimens from Senegal without giving the collection sites. Camicás (pers. commun.) stated that specimens identified as *Rh. guilhoni* in Main et al. (1980) were in fact a mix of *Rh. guilhoni* and *Rh. turanicus*.

**Rhipicephalus ziemanni** Neumann, 1904 emend. Neumann, 1911

*Rh. ziemanni* is found on goats and sheep pasturing in periforested areas (Figure 4) because it mainly infests wild antelopes in the forest. It has also been occasionally recovered from *Bos taurus*. Villiers (1955) recorded it from *Tragelaphus scriptus* (1♂♀) and *Civetictis civetta* (1♂) in Southwest Senegal. As is the case for *Rh. boueti* and *Rh. tricuspus*, little is known about its immature stages morphology and/or bioecology. Its seasonality and geographical distribution in Senegal need to be elucidated.

**Rhipicephalus lunulatus** Neumann, 1907

*Rh. lunulatus* is trispachic and ditropic. Adults infest a large variety of ungulates (Suppl. Mat. VIII) and carnivores but are also frequently found on Leporidae and antbears. This species has been recovered in the Sudan-Sahelian zone and the Sudanese area, south of isohyet 750 mm, in the natural region of Casamance (Figure 5). Besides the collections from hosts, 1♂♀ was collected on the ground.

**Rhipicephalus muhsamae** Morel & Vassiliades, 1963

Immature stages of three-host *Rh. muhsamae* (Morel and Vassiliades, 1964) are known to infest myomorph and sciuromorph rodents and leporids, whereas adults infest a large variety of ungulates and carnivores. It is distributed from north to south Senegal, in the Niayes and the Northern Sahelian area, along the Senegal River, but it is also frequent at isohyets 750–1000 mm in the southeastern and southwestern parts of Senegal (Figure 5). The species infests hedgehogs and birds and is frequently found as adults on livestock (Suppl. Mat. IX). Adults can also parasitize primates, antbears and African porcupines. It is found on cattle preferentially feeding on the ears.
Rhipicephalus ticks in Senegal

Immature stages of \textit{Rhipicephalus senegalensis} infest myomorph and sciuro- morph rodents, whereas adults are found on all ungulates and carnivores restricted to the species natural distribution areas, overlapping the humid parts of Senegal (Figure 5, Suppl. Mat. X), where it shares the same occurrence areas with \textit{Rhipicephalus muhsamae}. However, \textit{Rhipicephalus senegalensis} is also exceptionally found in North Senegal, around humid zones such as the irrigated lands of Walo (Sahelian zone), around Bao Bolon (Nioro du Rip, Sudano-Sahelian zone). Other records of \textit{Rhipicephalus senegalensis} in Senegal are from Villiers (1955) in the National Park of Madeleine Islands near Dakar. In addition, 25 ♀♀ and 15 ♂♂ \textit{Rhipicephalus senegalensis} were collected in nature, questing or crawling to find a host.

\textbf{Carried pathogens identified: (A) viruses, (B) bacteria and protozoa}

\textbf{Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) annulatus}

\textit{A}: No arbovirus has been isolated from \textit{Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) annulatus} in Senegal so far. However, this species, known as the cattle fever tick, has been found infected with the following viruses: Bhanja in Guinea, Jos in Central African Republic (CAR), Thogoto in Cameroun and CAR (Sureau et al., 1976; Degallier et al., 1985) and Dugbe in CAR (Degallier et al., 1985).

\textit{B}: The tick was found infected by the rickettsia \textit{Coxiella burnetii} in Senegal (Mediannikov et al., 2010). It is the vector of \textit{Anaplasma marginale}, the causal agent of bovine anaplasmosis, and was also found infected with \textit{Coxiella burnetii} in Senegal (Mediannikov et al., 2010).

\textbf{Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) geigi}

\textit{A}: \textit{Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) geigi} has been found in Senegal infected with CCHF virus (Camicas et al., 1994). Elsewhere in West Africa, it was found infected with Dugbe virus in Ivory Coast, and with Jos and Forecariah (= ArK 4927) viruses in Guinea (CRORA, 1998).

\textbf{Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) decoloratus}

\textit{A}: The African blue tick has been found infected with Bhanja virus in Senegal (CRORA, 1998), but also in Cameroun (Vinograd et al., 1975) and Nigeria (Williams et al., 1972). CCHF virus has been also isolated from the tick in Senegal (Le Gonidec, 1975), in Nigeria (Le Gonidec, 1975), and in Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (Degallier et al., 1985), which does not imply that the tick may be a competent vector, although CCHF virus successfully replicates in \textit{Rhipicephalus decoloratus} cell lines (Bell-Sakyi et al., 2012). The tick was also found infected with Dugbe virus in Nigeria (Williams et al., 1972) and in DRC (Sureau et al., 1976), with Jos virus in Senegal (Le Gonidec, 1975) and in Nigeria (Lee et al., 1974), and with Somone virus in Nigeria (Robyn et al., 1978). Thogoto virus was also isolated from the species in Senegal (CRORA, 1998), Cameroon (Sureau et al., 1976), Kenya (Haig et al., 1965), Nigeria (Williams et al., 1972) and CAR (Sureau et al., 1976).

\textit{B}: The tick is involved in the transmission of bovine piroplasmosis caused by \textit{Babesia bigemina}. It is the vector of \textit{Anaplasma marginale}, the causal agent of bovine anaplasmosis, and was also found infected with \textit{Coxiella burnetii} in Senegal (Mediannikov et al., 2010). It is one of the species known to cause paralysis of the vertebrate hosts because of chemical substances secreted by ticks while feeding (Sonenshine, 1992).
Rhipicephalus guillioni
A: *Rh. guillioni* was found infected with CCHF, Dugbe, Jos, Koutango, Semliki Forest viruses (CRORA, 1998), and Wad Medani in Senegal (Main et al., 1980).

B: The tick was also found infected with Coxiella burnetii in Senegal (Mediannikov et al., 2010).

Rhipicephalus sanguineus
A: *Rh. sanguineus* has not been known so far to be involved in arbovirus transmission in Senegal.

B: The tick is the vector of *Ehrlichia canis*, the bacteria causing canine ehrlichiosis in dogs (Fourie et al., 2013). It also transmits *Rickettsia conori* causing Mediterranean spotted fever (= boutonneuse fever) to humans in the Mediterranean (Spain, Italy, Greece, France, and Portugal) where it is considered to be the main vector and reservoir of the *R. conori* complex (Bacellar et al., 1999; Parola and Raoult, 2001; Parola et al., 2005), although in sub-Saharan Africa, *Rh. simus* is the main vector. *Rh. sanguineus* transmits the protozoans *Babesia canis* and *B. gibsoni* to dogs, causing canine babesiosis. The protozoan *Hepatozoon canis* is also transmitted from this tick to dog (Zhou et al., 2016). Finally, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the etiological agent of Lyme disease, has also been detected at a lower incidence in *Rh. sanguineus* ticks collected in Texas, USA (Cohen et al., 1990).

Rhipicephalus sultacus
A: *Rh. sultacus* was found infected with Dugbe virus in Senegal (CRORA, 1998; Robin et al., 1978).

Rhipicephalus muhsamae
A: *Rh. muhsamae* was found infected with Dugbe, Koutango, Wesselsbron and West Nile viruses in CAR (CRORA, 1998). Surveillance needs to include this species in Senegal.

Rhipicephalus cuspidatus and Rhipicephalus lunulatus
To our knowledge, there is no information on *Rh. cuspidatus* and *Rh. lunulatus* potential to replicate and transmit pathogens to humans or animals.

Rhipicephalus senegalensis
B: *Rh. senegalensis* was found carrying *Rickettsia massiliae*, *R. aeschlimanni* and *R. africae* among others yet not determined (Reye et al., 2012; Ehounoud et al., 2016).

Rhipicephalus boueti and Rhipicephalus ziemanni
Little is known about the potential of these species of the *simus* group to carry pathogens.

**DISCUSSION**

Further research extended to Sudanese and Sudano-Guinean zones are needed to determine *Rh. (Bo.) annulatus* occurrence/repartition in Senegal. The relations between the *Rh. (Bo.)* species occurring in Senegal and CCHF virus ecology need also to be studied. From a medical and veterinary point of view, these species have been underestimated, few studies having been conducted in Senegal. Nevertheless, because of the monotropic and monophassic characters of *Rh. (Bo.)* species, they might play a major role in CCHF virus ecology only in case of transovarian transmission of the virus. Throughout South Senegal, prospections need to be carried out on *Rh. decoloratus* distribution to clarify its absence/presence in the natural region of Casamance and Eastern Senegal, where it is supposed to be replaced by *Rh. geigyi*. Indeed, *Rh. geigyi*’s ability to transmit *Babesia* sp. and CCHF virus needs to be evaluated. *Rh. (Bo.)* species are the main vectors of *B. bigemina* and *B. bovis* causing babesiosis (or piroplasmosis) in livestock.

Following phylogenetic studies (Beati and Keirans, 2001; Murrell and Barker, 2003), the names of the *Boophilus* ticks changed and became *Rhipicephalus* of the subgenus *Boophilus*. *Rh. (Boophilus)* are small sized ticks that share the same generally hexagonal basis capituli with the other *Rhipicephalus* ticks. However, *Rhipicephalus* spp. are featured by medium sized males, a distinct anal groove, the presence of festoons, spiracular plates with a tail, whereas *Rh. (Boophilus)* are characterized by an indistinct anal groove, the absence of festoons and oval or rounded spiracular plates. Their palpi are very short, and males are small sized. *Rh. (Boophilus)* spp. occur in the tropical and subtropical areas, and preferentially feed on livestock. They are monophasic: the three developmental stages evolve on the same host; hatched larvae seeking host and engorged females are therefore the only free stages; metamorphosis from larva to nymph and nymph to imago takes place on the host. These bioecological features differ from those of the other *Rhipicephalus* spp., which are diphasic (*Rh. evertsi*) or triphasic. For the latter, three hosts are parasitized during the life cycle, the host specificity varies between tick species and molting occurs off the host.

We already have shown tick species that changed their distribution range in Northern Senegal, creating new CCHF foci following the sub-Saharan drought of the 1970s (Sylla et al., 2008a; 2008b). Globally, climate change effects on epidemiological dynamics such as vector and host migration are not well known because of the unavailability of valid retrospective ecological data on reservoirs and vectors. Evolution in chorology, host association database, and known transmitted pathogens become informative, correlated to ecoclimatic events. Several reasons linked to diversity of implicated phenomena and spatiotemporal scales are to be considered. In the developing countries of the intertropical belt, particularly in Africa, bioclimatic features support a strong epidemiological potential for numerous infectious diseases, as soon as ecological changes arise. Modest changes of some climatic parameters induce epidemiological phenomena of high amplitude. Frequent and long-term sampling are needed to monitor the full range of specific vector species (Kovatz et al., 2001) in order to understand the epidemiological patterns involved in the emergence and spread of diseases in a changing environment, to predict risk, and set up early warning and control strategies.
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**Resumen**

*Sylla M., Souris M., Gonzalez J.-P.* Garrapatas del género *Rhipicephalus* Koch, 1844 en Senegal: revisión, asociaciones de huéspedes, cronología y patógenos humanos y animales asociados

Se revisaron las garrapatas del género *Rhipicephalus* (Acari: Ixodidae) en Senegal. Los datos presentados se originan de una colección de garrapatas mantenida en el Laboratorio de Entomología Médica del IRD desde 1967 y enriquecida continuamente con muestras obtenidas de diferentes huéspedes vertebrados, capturadas durante varios proyectos llevados a cabo en Senegal entre 1987 y 2007. Se recolectaron y caracterizaron quince especies de garrapatas *Rhipicephalus*, conduciendo a 1127 colecciones de referencia. Tres especies fueron de subgéneros *Boophilus*: *Rhipicephalus* (Bo.) *annulatus*, *Rh. (Bo.) decoloratus* y *Rh. (Bo.) geigyi*. Las otras doce fueron *Rh. boueti*, *Rh. cuspidatus*, *Rh. evertsi*, *Rh. guilhonii*, *Rh. lunulatus*, *Rh. muhsamae*, *Rh. sanguineus*, *Rh. senegalensis*, *Rh. sulcatu*, *Rh. tricuspus*, *Rh. turanicus* y *Rh. ziemanni*. A pesar de que hubo indicios recientes de que *Rh. turanicus* debería de haber sido considerado como parte del complejo *Rh. sanguineus* s.l., los datos concernientes a estas dos garrapatas se presentaron separadamente. La colección incluyó 14 165 especímenes de garrapatas en diferentes estados de desarrollo. Se presentan también los datos concernientes a las relaciones con los huéspedes, así como la dinámica de distribución y estacional. Los huéspedes vertebrados fueron identificados y enumerados en las diferentes zonas ecológicas de Senegal. Se revisó el papel de las garrapatas como potenciales vectores de patógenos. Los cambios climáticos, causantes de variaciones en la pluviometría y la temperatura, impactarán la distribución y la dinámica de las garrapatas. La situación indujo la necesidad de este inventario de las poblaciones de garrapatas para la vigilancia y supervisión de enfermedades (re)emergentes transmitidas por garrapatas.

**Palabras clave:** *Rhipicephalus*, huéspedes, vectores, organismos patógenos, distribución geográfica, medio ambiente, Senegal