Nigeria is one of the African countries with significant pig population density (Robinson et al., 2014). In the 1990s, the pig population was 3.5 million consisting of native black hairy pigs and exotic breeds. A map of its spatial distribution at that time is presented in Figure 1 (Bourn et al., 1994). The latest population estimate was reported by the National Agricultural Sample Survey in 2011 to have increased to 7.1 million (unfortunately without an updated spatial map), indicating that the population had doubled in about two decades. The pigs are reared in neighborhoods of villages and in semiurban areas as small-scale enterprises having 1–50 pigs, but a few large-scale farms exist (Ajala et al., 2006; Saka et al., 2010; Abiola et al., 2015). Semi-intensive and extensive pig production systems occur in the Northern, Middle Belt and Niger Delta regions of Nigeria (Bourn et al., 1994). Intensive pig rearing exists mostly in Southern Nigeria (Ajala et al., 2006; Saka et al., 2010; Nwanta et al., 2011) and consists of farms having each 50–200 pigs in concrete pens. Commercial piggeries rear about 3% of the national pig population with usually more than five breeding sows per farm (Bourn et al., 1994). More men than women are involved in these enterprises in Southern Nigeria, whereas the opposite is the case in Northern Nigeria (Bawa et al., 2004; Ajala et al., 2006; Machebe et al., 2009; Nwanta et al., 2011; Abiola et al., 2015). In Southern Nigeria and some parts of Northern Nigeria, the majority of these farmers are educated and they combine pig farming with other business activities (Machebe et al., 2009; Nwanta et al., 2011; Abiola et al., 2015). Pig farming has been reported to yield good income despite the constraints associated with its production systems in various locations of the country (Ajala and Adesehinwa, 2008). Pigs play a vital role in the culture and tradition of people in some parts of Nigeria where they are used for celebrations and festivities such as marriages, burial rites and naming ceremonies (Fasina et al., 2010).

Sustainable growth of the pig production industry in Nigeria is adversely affected by factors such as unstructured pig marketing framework, fluctuations in the prices of pigs and pig products, cultural insights that are required for sustainability and expansion of the pig production capacity in the country.
and religious prohibition of pork consumption, low demand for pork in parts of the country, high feed cost, inadequate extension services, slow integration of cost-effective equipment and genetically enhanced breeds, and disease outbreaks (Ajala and Adeyemi, 2003). The first isolate of the virus from pigs in Nigeria has been characterized by Odemuyiwa et al. in 2000. The acute disease in pigs causes multifocal skin hemorrhages on the ventral abdomen, interstitial pneumonia, acute orchitis and testicular atrophy, meningitis, lymphoid necrosis, and focal hemorrhages in nervous tissues (Otesile et al., 2005). Outbreaks of the disease in the country occurred in 1997, 1998, 2001, and the subclinical disease has persisted thereafter. Therefore, in this review we have examined all the published researches using the PubMed site and Google search engine, or retrieving it from local institutional libraries and through contacts of authors, to gather information aimed at highlighting diseases that have been reported in pigs reared in Nigeria, with a focus on the current disease-related issues challenging profitable pig production.

### AFRICAN SWINE FEVER

African swine fever (ASF) is a viral disease of pigs caused by an *Asfivirus* in the family *Asfarviridae* which is highly contagious and often fatal (Ayoade and Adeyemi, 2003). The first isolate of the virus from pigs in Nigeria has been characterized by Odemuyiwa et al. in 2000. The acute disease in pigs causes multifocal skin hemorrhages on the ventral abdomen, interstitial pneumonia, acute orchitis and testicular atrophy, meningitis, lymphoid necrosis, and focal hemorrhages in nervous tissues (Otesile et al., 2005). Outbreaks of the disease in the country occurred in 1997, 1998, 2001, and the subclinical disease has persisted as an enzootic condition (Otesile et al., 2005; Babalobi et al., 2007; Awosanya et al., 2015). Over 500,000 pigs died from ASF in Nigeria within the first few years of the confirmed outbreaks (Majiyagbe et al., 2004). The confirmed outbreaks of ASF in Nigeria (Figure 2) affected Plateau, Nasarawa, Benue, Oyo, Kaduna, Bauchi, Taraba, Adamawa, Lagos, Enugu, Ogun, Akwa Ibom, Cross Rivers, Rivers, Gombe, Osun, Ondo, Ekiti, Anambra, Edo, and Delta States (Majiyagbe et al., 2004; Babalobi et al., 2007; Mailafia and Iliya, 2009; Fasina et al., 2010; Owolodun et al., 2010a, 2010b; Abwage et al., 2015; Adenaike et al., 2016; Awosanya et al., 2015; Ayas et al., 2016). Therefore, pigs in 21 (56.8%) out of 36 states and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) were involved in outbreaks. Most of the Northern States did not report any, probably because of the negligible pig population or a lack of surveillance network in those locations (Table 1). The average loss per farm incurred from ASF outbreak was over 3000 USS (Babalobi et al., 2007). The epidemiological cycle of ASF in Nigeria is maintained by domestic pigs without confirmed participation of other hosts or vectors (Fasina et al., 2010). Although ASF virus is virulent, resulting in high morbidity and mortality (Majiyagbe et al., 2004; Babalobi et al., 2007; Fadiga et al., 2013), recent findings point to the emergence of a mild strain of the virus which causes persistent infection, thereby maintaining the endemicity of ASF in Nigeria (Fasina et al., 2010; Owolodun et al., 2010a). This may account for the steady increase in the seroprevalence of ASF in Nigeria (Fadiga et al., 2013), especially in Northern agroecological areas (Adamawa, Taraba, Gombe, Bauchi), where it had been hitherto absent. The persistence of the virus and spread of infection in the country is through unchecked movement of infected pigs, outbreak survivors and infected pig products because of inadequate surveillance (Babalobi et al., 2007; Olugasa and Ijagbone, 2007; Fasina et al., 2010).

### FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is a viral disease caused by an *Aphthovirus* in the family *Picornaviridae*. It is a contagious vesicular disease of cloven-footed livestock which has been reported to be endemic in Nigeria (Ularamu et al., 2016), but outbreaks used to be sporadic and associated with imported trade cattle from neighboring countries (Nawathe and Goni, 1976). Seropositivity for FMD virus was reported in Plateau, Enugu, Taraba, Adamawa, Kebbi and Oyo States with the prevalence rates ranging from 2% to 46% (Fakai et al., 2015; Aiki-Raji et al., 2016). Clinical FMD in pigs causes fever, anorexia and salivation, vesicles, erosions and ulcers on the snout, tongue, hard and soft palates, skin of the interdigital space, coronary bands of the feet, and mortality of piglets (Kitching and Alexander, 2001; Adesegun, 2001; Mbah and Efobi, 2005).

### BRUCELLOSIS

Brucellosis is a contagious bacterial disease affecting pigs caused by *Brucella abortus*, *B. melitensis* and *B. suis*. The disease is associated with reproductive disorders which lead to male and female infertility and abortions. Sows in Edo State were reported to have abortions and 39% of the 55 samples collected from 25 farms yielded isolates of *Brucella suis* predominantly, as well as of *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus*.
Table 1

Pig diseases reported in the thirty-six States and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) of Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States</th>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASF</td>
<td>FMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamawa</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anambra</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akwa Ibom</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauchi</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayelsa</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benue</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borno</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross River</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebonyi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enugu</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edo</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekiti</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gombe</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imo</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jigawa</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaduna</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsina</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kebbi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwara</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarawa</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogun</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondo</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osun</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyo</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokoto</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taraba</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yobe</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zamfara</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCT</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diseases reported (++) or not reported (--)  
ASF: African swine fever; FMD: foot-and-mouth disease; BRU: brucellosis; END: endoparasitism, consisting of hemoparasites and gastrointestinal parasites; ECT: ectoparasitism
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Porcine trypanosomiasis is a parasitic (protozoan) disease caused by Trypanosoma simiae, T. brucei and T. congolense. A. simiae infection is more severe than a T. brucei or a T. congolense infection (Ile-mobade and Balogun, 1981). In Nigeria, infection of pigs with T. simiae produces an acute fatal hemorrhagic disease (Isoun, 1968) due to high virulence (Onah, 1991). Trypanosoma brucei is more pathogenic than T. congolense (Onah and Ugwu, 1991). However, Agih and Bajhe (1986) reported that the case fatality of T. brucei infection in pigs was similar to that of T. simiae infection. Trypanosoma simiae-infected pigs have clinical signs of fever, lethargy, paralysis of hind legs, abortion, bleeding from the nose, mouth, anus and vulva; mortality reaches 66% (Ocholi et al., 1988). Pig trypanosomiasis caused by T. brucei is characterized by high parasitemia, fever, hyperemia of the skin, anemia, weakness, anorexia, recumbency, anorexia, abortion in the second trimester, weight loss, ataxia, mucopurulent ocular discharge, neutropenia, lymphocytosis and mortality, increases in serum aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, urea and total bilirubin (Onah, 1991; Otsele et al., 1991b; Allam et al., 2011; Anene et al., 2011). Fatal cases of T. brucei infection cause circling and wobbling of the hind legs, and severe meningoencephalitis (Otsile et al., 1991a).

Pig death and live pig weight losses caused by trypanosomiasis have cost implications in pig production in Nigeria (Fadiga et al., 2013). There is a high prevalence of pig trypanosomiasis in Anambra, Benue, Oyo, Ekiti, Taraba, Ebonyi and Adamawa States (Onah, 1991; Omotainse et al., 2000; Onah and Ebenebe, 2003; Anene et al., 2011; Nwanta et al., 2011; Ademola and Onyiche, 2013; Karshima et al., 2016). Pigs are important sources of blood meal for tsetse flies, especially Glossina palpalis, with the consequence of high infection rates for porcine trypanosomiasis caused by T. brucei in Northern Nigeria (Karshima et al., 2016).

Although natural cases of T. simiae infection have not been recently reported in pigs in Glossina-infested locations of the country, a study revealed the molecular identification of T. simiae in tsetse flies in Northern Nigeria (Isaac et al., 2016). More than a decade ago, T. simiae infection mixed with Babesia trautmanni was reported in Mopa, Kwara State, in a unit of 131 pigs among commercial farms of more than 2000 pigs (Ocholi et al., 1988). The pigs also had coccidium, Oesophagostomum dentatum and Ascarios suum infections, making the outbreak very complicated and fatal. Outbreak of T. brucei infections in Nsukka, Anambra State, was fatal during relapse infection after diminazene aceturate treatment and was characterized by cerebral invasion of trypanosomes (Onah and Uzoukwu, 1991). Infection with T. brucei was more severe in pigs with decreasing dietary energy level (Fagbemi et al., 1990). The effect of infection was also more severe in young pigs on a low energy diet than those on a high energy one (Ote-sile et al., 1991b). Furthermore, the infected pigs on the low energy diet had delayed recovery after therapy (Ote-sile et al., 1992), suggesting that inadequate energy and other nutritional factors might be contributing to the pathogenic effects of T. brucei (Igbokwe, 1995).

The prevalence of infection was higher with T. brucei than with T. congolense, but mixed infections of both species were most common (Onah, 1991; Omeke, 1994). In cross-sectional studies, trypanosome infections were more prevalent in the rainy season than in the dry one, and prevalences were 31% of 150 pigs (Onah, 1991) and 27% of 1954 pigs (Omeke, 1994). Sometimes, parasitic pigs were asymptomatic (Onah, 1991). Omeke (1994) also showed that a number of subpatent cases were confirmed to have trypanosome infections by mice inoculation tests.

The role of pigs as reservoir hosts for trypanosomes infecting humans has received attention. The prevalence of T. brucei gambiensis among pigs points to their importance as reservoirs of human infective trypanosomes in both Northern and Southern Nigeria (Onah and Eben-ebe, 2003).

Babesiosis is a hemoprotozoan disease caused by intra-erythrocytic Babesia spp. which elicits mainly intravascular hemolysis and anemia. Eperythrozoosis is caused by another parasite of the blood, Eperythrozoon (Mycoplasma) spp., which belongs to the order Myco-plasmatales. The disease is characterized by hemolytic anemia in stressed pigs. The blood parasites identified in local and exotic pigs in Ibadan, Oyo State, were B. trautmanni, B. perroncitoi, E. suis and E. parvum, occurring as single or mixed infections of generally low parasitemia (Dipeolu et al., 1982), with E. suis being the most predominant among these blood parasites in the location. A previous survey in Ibadan reported that 9% of 135 pigs had B. trautmanni in blood smears (Okon, 1976). The pigs infected with E. suis alone, B. trautmanni alone or E. suis and B. trautmanni had fever and anemia (Dipeolu et al., 1983a; 1983b). In Makurdi, Benue State, Eperythrozoon spp. (5%) and Babesia spp. (2%) were identified in the blood smears of 351 pigs (Ogbaje et al., 2015). Sometimes, porcine babesiosis occurred concurrently with trypanosomiasis as reported in Kwara State (Ocholi et al., 1988). Human eperythrozoosis transmitted from animals has not been reported in Nigeria, but the zoonotic transmission of Eperythrozoon spp. from pigs to humans has been reported from Croatia and China according to a systematic review by Huang et al. (2012).

Gastrointestinal Parasitism

The species of gastrointestinal parasites of pigs reported in various States of Nigeria are summarized in Table II. Nematodes, cestodes, trematodes and protozoa are among the common parasites (Ikeme, 1970; Ikeme and Nduaka, 1974). In Plateau State, pigs have been infected by various species of gastrointestinal parasites (Fabiyi, 1979; Salifu et al., 1990; Gagman et al., 2015). High parasite burdens from nematodes and protozoa were reported in Rivers State (Salifu et al., 1990). Helminths and coccidia have also been reported to affect pig production in Enugu, Adamawa, Anambra, Kaduna and Ebonyi States (Nwanta et al., 2011). Helminths deprive pigs of nutrients, cause tissue injury and lead to weight loss, thereby increasing the time to attain market size. It is notable that Ascarios suum has been shown to cause visceral larva migrans in humans and pigs, allergic enteritis and intestinal obstruction in pigs, alongside other complications (Sakakibara et al., 2002; Stewart and Hoyt, 2006; Karanja et al., 2011). Visceral larva migrans causes excessive scarring of the lungs and liver, leading to offal condemnation in the abattoir. In addition, Trichurus suis, Strongyloides ransomi and Oesophagostomum spp. have been
ECTOPARASITISM

ECTOPARASITES such as lice, fleas and mites have been reported to affect pigs in Oyo, Kwara, Kaduna, Adamawa, Enugu, Anambra, Ebonyi, Plateau and Rivers States (Stewart and Hoyt, 2006; Karanja et al., 2011) leading to vomiting, anorexia and depression. In Nigeria, pigs have been shown to serve as alternative hosts for *Ancylostoma duodenale*, the hookworm that affects humans (Salifu et al., 1990). *Taenia solium* cysticercosis and risk of human teniasis have been reported in Nassarawa area of Enugu State (Onah and Chiejina, 1995), Zuru, Kebbi State (Gweba et al., 2010), Oyo State (Faleke and Ogundipe, 2003; Gweba et al., 2010; Biu and Ijudai, 2012; Weka et al., 2013). Lice and fleas act as vectors of disease organisms and, with mites, often trigger severe itching that makes animals unable to feed and grow well. In rural areas, pigs, particularly those that are extensively managed, are the most important reservoirs of *Tunga penetrans* (jigger flea) that affects humans (Ugbomoiko et al., 2008). In Imo State, 18% of 66 pigs were affected by mange (Opara et al., 2007). The prevalence of mite infestation was 77% in Benue State (Gboko), but 43% had mange lesions (Ior, 2009). *Demodex* mange occurred in 20% of 351 pigs in Enugu State (Nwanta et al., 2011). Mite infections (scabies) of pigs may be transmitted to animal handlers and butchers.
DISEASE BURDEN: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES

A pertinent issue confronting pig production and health management in Nigeria is the religious restriction under the Sharia and Judaic norms. In most parts of Northern Nigeria and in some in Southern Nigeria, religious laws forbid contact with pigs and pig products, consumption of pork and promotion of businesses related to the pig production industry. This context excludes large populations of farmers, health givers and policy regulators averse to pig-related issues. In the universities and research institutes located in these areas, almost no attention is given to piggy and the challenges facing the sector are treated with levity. The major pig production arena is, therefore, in Southern Nigeria and, to a lesser extent, in the Middle Belt and savanna areas of Northern Nigeria. Without adequate veterinary resources and health services to pig populations reared in the country, the sustainability and expansion of the industry may not be maintained in the long run. The policy framework for pig farming and their health management system is ill-defined and even experts in the field can barely articulate it for implementation. Thus, diseases of pigs as reported in various parts of Nigeria (Tables I and II) may not be under strict national surveillance and few, if any, abattoirs designated for pig slaughter are under mandatory government supervision through the instrument of meat inspection and veterinary personnel who control and report unwelcome pigs products or diseases. On-farm investigation of diseases is often not conducted and farmers engage in self-help in the face of challenging health issues and, in some instances, there are reports that sick animals are sold or slaughtered for consumption with the risk of spreading infections from animals to human populations (Fasina et al., 2010).

Inadequate laboratory services for disease investigations also militate against the efforts toward disease diagnosis and surveillance (Igbokwe, 2011) and most important disease investigations need to be conducted in foreign laboratories with the aid of international agencies (Odumuyiwa et al., 2000). Several diseases were rarely reported and were discountenanced in this review because their identifications through synoptic or laboratory methods by veterinary personnel were not validly verifiable. They include swine flu, swine erysipelas, salmonellosis, paratyphoid, tuberculosis, leptospirosis, swine pox, rabies and anthrax. Poor quality diagnostic output and, perhaps inaccurate laboratory diagnosis may be in contention; but adequate training and retraining, and quality control measures are issues that also need to be addressed to ensure that the disease surveillance system is robust and can face the demands of shielding the population from risks of epidemic diseases.

Piglet mortality rates of 15.0% were reported in the Southeast (Nwanta et al., 2011), 18.6% in the North-Central (Rekwot et al., 2001); they were 29.3% and 44.8% for exotic and indigenous breeds, respectively (Uko et al., 1994). The causes of piglet deaths were not fully investigated but were largely attributed to low birth weights (Uko et al., 1994). Neonatal health and prevention of infertility and abortions in herds are paramount and appropriate initiative for growth of the pig population in the country.

This review identified reports involving diseases that undermine reproductive health, feed conversion efficiency and growth. African swine fever and trypanosomiasis are particularly fatal to pigs and need to be controlled. It is costly to the production system when there is failure to control African swine fever and trypanosomiasis (Fadiga et al., 2013). As there are no effective vaccines against these diseases (Fadiga et al., 2013), regular testing and sustained surveillance for disease outbreaks will help in disease control. Vector control using screens, insecticides and bush clearing are part of a trypanosomiasis control program (Fadiga et al., 2013). Multidrug resistance in the chemotherapy of trypanosomiasis, which leads to treatment failures and relapse of infections, is an intractable challenge (Onah and Uzoukwu, 1991). In addition, the adverse effects of gastrointestinal parasites can be avoided with an effective strategic therapeutic program (Salifu et al., 1990).

There is inadequate application of on-farm biosecurity in piggeries in Nigeria, even though the implementation of on-farm biosecurity is cheaper and more effective than treatment or inaction in the control of diseases (Fasina et al., 2012; Fadiga et al., 2013) and has a significant impact on reducing the incidence of outbreaks on farms (Saka et al., 2010; Maduka et al., 2016). Poor biosecurity practices increase the risk of African swine fever outbreaks (Fasina et al., 2010), but cleaning piggeries without applying other biosecurity protocols is not sufficient to exclude pig parasitic diseases because of outdoor scavenging (Salifu et al., 1990). Raising pigs alongside other animal species such as domestic fowls, poultry, goats and sheep is a common practice in Kaduna, Emugu, Anambra and Ebonyi States (Nwanta et al., 2011) and these other species might be sources of infections. Since there have been outbreaks of avian influenza in Nigeria, there is the potential danger of influenza virus undergoing mutation in pigs as ‘mixing vessel’. In fact, there are confirmed reports of isolation of variant strains of influenza virus from pigs in Nigeria (Adeola et al., 2009; Meseke et al., 2014; 2018). This scenario poses a danger for zoonotic outbreak of influenza. In addition, there are risks of zoonoses from the spread of trypanosomiasis, brucellosis, eperythrozoonosis, larva migrans, cryptosporidiosis, balantidiasis, ancylostomiasis, enameboesiosis, teniasis, fascioliasis, jigger fleas and mange to human populations, but the medical epidemiology of most of these zoonoses in Nigeria has been rarely investigated and is not reported (Coker et al., 2000).

In intensive pig farming, adequate nutrition may support immunity and improve resistance to diseases or increase the performance of pigs even with disease burden. The nutritional challenges emerge when feed costs are high and capital outlay cannot accommodate a balanced nutrition. Farmers may make ad hoc feeds that are not sustainable for the production system and, sometimes, may encounter feed-related poisoning (Daniel-Igwe, 2014), and undernutrition or nutritional imbalance. Diagnosis of nutritional diseases is difficult and losses from nutritional inadequacies may be imperceptible until it is catastrophic. Recent outcresses of farmers concerning the increasing feed cost and disease burden call for urgent efforts to rescue the pig production industry through systematic government intervention, so as to enable farmers to meet increasing demands for pig and pork products across West Africa (Akinfenwa, 2017). The control of diseases, especially transboundary diseases, is geared toward encouraging international trade to boost market for the national pig industry.

CONCLUSION

Although the pig population in Nigeria has been growing in the previous two decades, the growth would have been much more improved without the challenges of disease burdens such as African swine fever, foot-and-mouth disease, brucellosis, endoparasitism involving hemoparasites and gastrointestinal parasites, and ectoparasitism as concisely reviewed. The technical surveillance of diseases and reporting systems to health authorities (in charge of disease control) ought to be strengthened. Health extension workers need to work with the farmers in the areas of biosecurity and strategic health planning. Government policies in the pig sector could be re-examined for suitability, effectiveness and sustainability in meeting the demands of the current challenges. Veterinary training on pig health in the country should be re-emphasized, and researches into diseases of pigs that adversely affect pig production need to be funded by appropriate government agencies. The zoonotic risk assessment of pig production systems to the health of human populations, in contact with services and products of the industry, requires adequate attention. This review has identified the following infections/infestations of pigs in...
parts of Nigeria which could be transmitted to humans: influenza, trypanosomiasis, brucellosis, erythrozoosomiobiosis, larva migrans, cryptosporidiosis, balantidiasis, ancylostomosis, entamoebosis, teniasis, jigger fleas and mange. The health system for pig production deserves professional input from experts, mobilizing one-health outlook that involves synergy of veterinary and human health policy formulation and application (Halliday et al., 2015; Okello et al., 2015). Ultimately, the national priority in surveillance and control of diseases, aimed at supporting pig production, requires improved diagnostic capacities, tight monitoring of disease burden and supervised control measures.
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Résumé

Igbohwe I.O., Maduka C.V. Maladies affectant la production porcine au Nigeria : synthèse des questions et des défis actuels

L'intérêt croissant pour la production porcine, source complémentaire de protéine animale, se manifeste au Nigeria par une augmentation de la population de porcs. Dans les régions où il n’existe aucune restriction religieuse à la production et à la consommation de porc, la survenue de maladies représente la contrainte majeure à une production profitable de cochons. Les maladies importantes du porc, signalées dans les régions du pays où elles sont présentes, sont recensées dans cette synthèse. La peste porcine africaine, la fièvre aphteuse, la brucellose, la trypanosomose, la babésiose, l’épêrythrozoose, la larva migrans, les helmintoses, les coccidiose et les autres parasitoses ont des effets négatifs sur la production (augmentation de l’indice de consommation, réduction du taux de reproduction et de la croissance) aussi bien que sur la mortalité des porcelets et des cochons adultes. Les pertes économiques causées par la charge de morbidité et les stratégies de lutte inadéquates sont des problèmes auxquels est confrontée l’industrie porcine. Les risques de diffusion de zoonoses, tels que la transmission de Trypanosoma brucei, la larva migrans, qui présente une sous-sous-espèce à l’Ascaris suum qui présente avec des eosinophiles, et plusieurs intra-hepatiques avec severe eosinophil infiltration. Outbreak in a Japanese area other than by Kyushu. Intern. Med., 41 (7): 574-579, doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine.41.574


Igbohwe I.O., Maduka C.V. Carga patológica que afecta la producción porcina en Nigeria: síntesis de problemas y desafíos actuales

El interés creciente en la producción porcina como fuente complementaria de proteína animal ha llevado al crecimiento de la población porcina en Nigeria. Los brotes de enfermedades representan la mayor limitación para la producción porcina rentable en aquellos lugares donde no existe una barrera religiosa para la producción y el consumo de carne de cerdo. En la presente revisión se destacan las enfermedades más importantes de los cerdos reportadas en el país y la ubicación de la población de cerdos afectada. La peste porcina africana, la fiebre aftosa, la brucelosis, la tripanosomosis, la babesiosis, la eperythrozoonosis, las helmintosis, las coccidiosis y otras parasitosis impactan el sistema de producción al afectar negativamente la eficiencia de la conversión alimenticia, la reproducción y las tasas de crecimiento, así como provocar mortalidad de lechones y adultos. Las pérdidas económicas debido a esta carga patológica y las estrategias de intervención inadecuadas son los problemas que enfrenta actualmente la industria de producción porcina. El riesgo de propagación zoótica de la gripe, tripanosomiasis, larva migrans, teniasis, sarna, criptosporidiosis, balantidiasis, anquilostomiasis, entamoebiosis y pulgas de los cerdos afectados es real. Debe prestarse atención a las estrategias de control de enfermedades a través de la provisión de recursos y servicios veterinarios, así como producir un cambio de paradigma para la sostenibilidad y la expansión de la capacidad de producción porcina en el país.
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